

CITY FACILITIES ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES DIVISION

July 19, 2007

ADDENDUM NO. 2 FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT SERVICES For THREE MASTER CONSULTANT AGREEMENTS – EACH ONE IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$500,000 Dated July 9, 2007

The following questions have been asked regarding the above referenced Request for Qualifications. Answers (in italics) follow each question. These clarifications, revisions, additions, and/or deletions are hereby made a part of and incorporated into the Request for Qualifications.

- 1. Has the DPW entered similar master contracts with environmental consultants in the past? If so, which consultants were selected? Which firms are the incumbents, if any? DPW has a master agreement in the amount of \$100,000 each of the following environmental firms: David J. Powers & Associates, Inc., Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc., RBF Consulting, Jones & Stokes, and URS Corp. They have all been almost fully expended.
- 2. Will there be a fixed duration for the master agreements, or will their expiration be determined only by the depletion of the funds in each? If there will be a fixed duration, what is the expected expiration date?

There will be a fixed duration of 3 years.

3. Please identify a couple of representative recent projects for which the DPW has contracted for the services of environmental consultants, either through a master contract or otherwise. Which consultants provided those services?

Representative services from the above listed master agreements include:

- Pre-construction surveys for burrowing owls, nesting woodrats, red-legged frogs and nesting pond turtles, and archaeological field monitoring.
- Traffic study report
- Noise study report
- 4. Does the consultant selection process award points to a prime contractor for teaming with local subcontractors? If so, please describe the scoring process for awarding selection points for teaming with local subs.

The local designation applies to the prime consultant only.

5. Is the intention of the instruction in Attachment G, Item 10, to limit our response to providing one and only one project example in each of the 25 scope of work categories, or may we provide more than one relevant example?

Yes, please only list ONE representative example.

Subject: ADDENDUM NO. 2

July 19, 2007 Page 2

6. If a proposing company specializes in a certain area of environmental work, and does not provide all 24 types of environmental consultant services detailed in the RFQ, it appears their scoring potential out of 100 points will be reduced. Is this true?

If the proposing firm does not perform a certain area of work and does not list a subconsultant who does, then yes, their scoring potential is reduced.

7. Must a Sub-Consultant have worked for a Prime on a specific scope of work on a project in order to have the project listed in Attachment G, part 10?

No.

Or can a Sub-Consultants experience be used for a particular Scope of Work? Yes as long as the Sub-Consultant is clearly identified.

8. Is the number of Sub-Consultants allowed on a Prime's team limited to 5, as indicated on the Attachment G, part 9?

The intent of #9 is for the proposing firm to list the main subconsultants used so it is limited to 5. If there are additional subconsultants being proposed, they would show up on #10.